

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-1076-2024
DECIDED ON:13.02.2024

HARDEEP KAUR AND ANOTHER
.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS
.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL.

Present: Mr. Kanwaljit Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Rajiv Verma, DAG, Punjab.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition under Article 226/227 for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* for directing the respondents Nos. 2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners from the hands of respondents No. 4 to 6 and for not harassing the petitioners on any false criminal complaint.

Status report by way of affidavit of Kuldeep Singh Chahal, IPS, Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3 has been received and recorded as follows:-

“ That in compliance to the above noted order of this Hon'ble Court, it is humbly submitted that enquiry on the Representation of the petitioners Annexure P-3 bearing PGD No.300049 Complaint No. 296949 dated 06.02.2024 has been got conducted from the SHO, P.S. Meharban, Ludhiana during which various persons of the Village as well as both the parties were joined in the enquiry and their statements were recorded. Ultimately it was revealed that the allegations levelled by the petitioners in their said representation are totally false. Neither petitioner No.1 was

residing separate from her husband/respondent no.4 from the last two years nor any Divorce Petition u/s 13 of Hindu Marriage Act has been filed by petitioner no.1 against her husband/respondent no.4. Similarly, the husband of petitioner no.1 i.e. respondent No.4 had not come to the house of the petitioners on 12.01.2024 nor he threatened the petitioners. Thus, all the allegations levelled by the petitioners in their said representation were found to be totally false, During the enquiry, it was revealed that since last about 12 years, petitioner no.1 was residing with her husband/respondent no.4. However, on 11.01.2024 petitioner no.1 had gone from the house her husband/respondent no.4 to her parental house and on 12.01.2024 she departed from her parental house to the house her husband but she did not reach there and in this regard father of petitioner no.1 had also moved an application on 12.01.2024 with the police of P.S. Tibba, Ludhiana. However, rather than going to the house of her husband, petitioner no.1 went to petitioner no.2 on 12.01.2024 with whom she had love affair. However, there is no threat to the life of the petitioners from the private respondents.”

In view of the status report, the present petition has been endered
infructuous and dismissed as such.

13.02.2024

Anu

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No