



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH

CWP No.10501 of 2000 (O&M)  
Date of decision: 12.09.2024

The Municipal Employees Union  
.....Petitioner  
Versus  
State of Punjab and others  
.....Respondents


CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE NAMIT KUMAR

**Present:** None for the petitioner.  
Mr. Surya Kumar, AAG, Punjab.  
Mr. Ajay Jain, Advocate for respondent No.3.

**NAMIT KUMAR J. (Oral)**

The present petition has been filed by the petitioner under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India, seeking a writ of mandamus, directing the respondents, especially respondent No.3 to deposit the amount with interest in the Provident Fund Accounts of the employees, which has not been deposited in their accounts from the last many years, despite the deductions being made from the salary of the employees every month but remains in the accounts of Municipal Council, Ropar.

There was no representation on behalf of the petitioner on the last date of hearing i.e. 09.08.2024 and notice was ordered to be issued to the petitioner as well as Sh. K.S. Hissowal, Advocate, learned counsel for the petitioner.



As per office report, notice issued to learned counsel for the petitioner, has been received back served and notice issued to the petitioner has been received back with the report “retired”.

Sh. Ajay Jain, Advocate, has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.3 and submits that during the pendency of the present petition, the Provident Fund amount has been deposited in almost all the cases and with the efflux of time, the instant petition has been rendered infructuous.

Disposed of as having become infructuous.

(NAMIT KUMAR)  
JUDGE

12.09.2024  
*yakub*

|                            |        |
|----------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned: | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable:        | Yes/No |