



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

207

COCP-275-2022 (O & M)
Date of decision: 14.05.2024

SWAMI SATYANAND ...Petitioner

Versus

RAJ KUMAR AND ORS ...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present:- None for the petitioner.

Mr. Raghujeet S. Madan, Advocate, for respondent No.1.

None for respondents No.2 to 4.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (Oral)

1. This is a contempt petition filed under Sections 10 and 12 of the Contempt of Courts Act, read with Article 215 of the Constitution of India, for punishing the respondents for disobeying the order dated 31.03.2021 passed in CWP-3877-2021.
2. There is a written request for adjournment on behalf of learned counsel for the petitioner.
3. Counsel for respondent No.1 submits that the order dated 31.03.2021 passed in CWP-3877-2021 has since been complied with and necessary order dated 16.07.2021 (Annexure R-1/1) has been passed.
4. In view of the above, the present petition is rendered infructuous and dismissed, as such.
5. However, if any grievance of the petitioner is left unredressed, he would be at liberty to raise the same in any other alternate remedy, but in accordance with law.

14.05.2024
parveen kumar

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking? Yes/No
Whether reportable? Yes/No