

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-6021-2024
Decided On: 06.05.2024

RAJWINDER KAUR

.....PETITIONER(s)

Versus

THE STATE OF HARYANA

.....RESPONDENT(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present: Mr. Shivam Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Parveen Kumar Aggarwal, DAG, Haryana.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(Oral)

On 14.03.2024 the following order was passed by this Court:-

“Status report by way of affidavit of Shri Abhilaksh Joshi, HPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, Jagadhri (Yamuna Nagar) has been filed on behalf of the respondent- State.

Learned State Counsel has made a statement that status report as filed in CRM-M-7188 of 2024-Gurmeet Singh @ Sonu Vs. State of Haryana may also be considered in the connected petition i.e. CRM-M-6021 of 2024 Rajwinder Kaur Vs. State of Haryana.

In view of the afore-said statement, status report as filed in CRM-M-7188 of 2024-Gurmeet Singh @ Sonu Vs. State of Haryana, is permitted to be considered in the connected petition i.e. CRM-M-6021 of 2024 Rajwinder Kaur Vs. State of Haryana also.

In both the petitions, petitioners have prayed for grant of anticipatory bail in case FIR No.153 dated 22.07.2023 registered under Sections 306 and 34 IPC at Police Station Buria, District Yamuna Nagar.

It is Chetanya Kumar, who committed suicide leaving behind a suicide note, translated copy of which was placed on record as Annexure P.2. Rajwinder Kaur (petitioner in CRM-M-6021 of 2024)

is the mother-in-law of the deceased whereas Gurmeet Singh @ Sonu (petitioner in CRM-M-7188 of 2024) is brother-in-law of the wife of the deceased.

It is conceded by learned State Counsel that the petitioners have not been named in the suicide note left behind by Chetanya Kumar- deceased.

Adjourned to 06.05.2024.

In the meantime, in case of their arrest, the petitioners shall be released on interim bail subject to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/ Investigating Officer. However, it is further directed that the petitioners shall join the investigation as and when so required by the Investigating Officer. They shall not contact any person associated with the case to dissuade them from the investigation in any manner whatsoever and nor shall leave the country without prior permission of the Court. They shall further comply with the conditions stipulated in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of the connected case.”

Today, on instructions from ASI Charanjit Singh, learned State counsel has informed this Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation, in compliance of the order dated 14.03.2024 and is no longer required for further investigation.

In view of the aforesaid, the order dated 14.03.2024, whereby the petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail, is hereby made absolute. However, he shall continue to join investigation, if and so required by the Investigating Officer.

Disposed of.

06.05.2024

Aman Dua

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No