



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

216-2

CRM-M-6038-2024

Date of decision: 16.05.2024

Sucha Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. S.S. Sarwara, Advocate and
Mr. Prashant Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Navdeep Singh, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. C.M. Munjal, Advocate
for the complainant.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of anticipatory bail under Section 438 of the Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.9 dated 11.01.2024 for the offences under Sections 420, 465, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC registered at Police Station City Rajpura, District Patiala.

2. On 05.02.2024, learned counsel for the petitioner had made the following submissions:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that the petitioner had no role to play in the fabrication of the alleged agreement to sell dated 15.01.2010; in fact, he himself had purchased it from co-accused Jasvir Singh and was, thus, a bonafide purchaser of the property in question.”

3. Thereafter, vide order dated 20.02.2024, the petitioner had been granted the concession of interim bail and was asked to join

investigation.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of order dated 20.02.2024, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

5. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Gurpreet Singh, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions, submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

6. In view of the above, the petition is allowed and interim order dated 20.02.2024, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

16.05.2024

Vinay

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE