

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-1061-2024
DECIDED ON: 22.02.2024

MANSIMRAN KAUR AND ANOTHER
.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS
.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Umesh Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Jasjit Singh Rattu, DAG Punjab.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioners seeks protection of life and liberty of the petitioners by contending that both of them having attained the age of majority, have married each other against the wishes of their respective family members respondent Nos.4 & 5 and to seek appropriate protection from the authorities. They submitted a representation dated 26.01.2024 (Annexure P-7), in this regard to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur, but are still apprehensive about their security in view of the apparent inaction and alleged clout of their family members-respondents.
2. This Court on 05.02.2024 called upon for a status report from the Senior Superintendent of Police, Hoshiarpur, who has produced the same by way of an affidavit dated 13.02.2024, wherein paras 4 & 5 read as under:-

“4. That in their respective statements, petitioners No.1 & 2 have stated that, they both have solemnized marriage on 27.01.2024 at Gurudwara Sri Ravidass Sabha, Mohalla Bhagat Nagar, Tehsil &

District Hoshiarpur against wishes of parents of petitioner No.1 and now they have filed one petition for protection of life & liberty i.e. CRWP No.1061 of 2024 and as of now there is no threat to their life & liberty and parents of petitioner No.1 have no objection to marriage of petitioners and both the petitioners do not need any kind of protection and in future if any need arises, petitioners would approach local police and even respondents No.4 & 5 got recorded their separate statements and have stated that they have no objection to marriage solemnized by their daughter Mansimran Kaur (petitioner No.1) with Pankaj (petitioner No.2).

5. That since, petitioners have expressed no threat to their life & liberty on account of solemnization of marriage from respondents No.4 & 5 and further respondents No.4 & 5 being have expressed no objection to marriage of petitioners. Thus, no action was required to be taken on the representation made by petitioners and the same was consigned.”

3. This Court is duly convinced with the status report, whereby it has been categorically recorded that no threat perception is there to the lives and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondent Nos.4 & 5, who have expressed no objection to the marriage of the present petitioners.

4. In the light of above, this petition does not warrant any order or inference whatsoever from this Court and the same is ordered to be dismissed being devoid of merits.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

22.02.2024

Meenu

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>