



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(202)

CWP No. 10221 of 1998(O&M)
Date of Decision : 09.09.2024

Babu Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Petitioner in person.

Mr. T.P.S. Chawla, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

Petitioner appears in person and submits that keeping in view the order passed by the Division Bench dated 07.07.1998, the recovery was stayed subject to the deposit of ₹25,000/-, which amount had already been deposited, hence, as he has already retired from service and he is 88 years old, effecting the recovery will cause financial prejudice as he has no other source of income.

Learned counsel for the respondents does not dispute the fact that the petitioner has already retired from service and is 88 years old.

Keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the present case and by keeping the question of law open, the respondents are directed not to effect any further recovery from the petitioner except ₹25,000/- already recovered.

Petition is disposed of in above terms.



Pending miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.

September 09, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether reportable : No