


IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

117

2024.PHHC:069824



CR-838-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 17.05.2024

SHEELA DEVI AND OTHERS ..Petitioners

Versus

SITA RAM AND OTHERS ..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Ajay Jain, Advocate
for the petitioners.

None for respondent No.1 to 9.

Mr. Deepak K. Sharma, Advocate
for respondent No. 10 to 12.

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

CM-8872-CII-2024

- 1. Allowed as prayed for.
- 2. Annexure R-1 to R-5 are taken on record.
- 3. CM stands disposed of.

Main case

- 4. The learned trial Court has permitted respondent No.10 to 12 to become party in the pending suit. The correctness of such order is challenged by the plaintiffs.
- 5. This Bench has heard the learned counsel representing the parties at length and with their able assistance perused the paperbook.
- 6. The learned counsel representing the petitioners submits that the plaintiffs have filed a suit for declaration that they are coming as 'gair marusi' over the land measuring 6 kanal and 1 marla pertaining to the

agreement to sell dated 07.01.1994, executed by the predecessor in interest

of the defendants namely Sh. Aadram. He submits that if the respondents are impleaded as party, the scope of the suit would increase.

7. Per contra, the learned counsel representing respondent No.10 to 12 submits that the suit is only to divide the respondents rights.

8. In the considered view of the Court, the opinion formed by the trial Court does not require any interference.

9. Dismissed accordingly.

10. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

May 17th, 2024
Ay

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*