

201 2024:PHHC:022868
IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-12925-1996 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 15.02.2024

Ayub Khan

....Petitioner(s)

Versus

HUDA and another

.....Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASGURPREET SINGH PURI

Present: Mr. K.L. Arora, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Gill, Advocate, for the respondents-HUDA.

JASGURPREET SINGH PURI, J. (Oral)

The present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ of mandamus directing the respondents to regularise the services of the petitioner as Pump Attendant in view of the instructions (Annexure P-2).

At the outset, Mr. H.S. Gill, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondents has stated on instructions that in fact during the pendency of the present petition, the services of the petitioner have already been regularised on 19.03.1997 w.e.f. 01.02.1996 and therefore, the present petition has become instructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has stated that however the services of the petitioner were required to be regularised w.e.f. 01.04.1993.

After hearing the learned counsel for the parties, this Court is of the view that since the services of the petitioner have already been

regularised in the year 1997, the present petition has been rendered infructuous. However, in case any cause of action survives to the petitioner with regard to fixing of his date of regularization or any other consequential benefit, if any, then the petitioner shall be at liberty to file a comprehensive representation to the respondents within a period of two months from today. In case any such representation is filed, then the respondents will consider the same in accordance with law and pass a speaking order after hearing the petitioner or his counsel.

15.02.2024

rakesh

(JASGURPREET SINGH PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No