

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

291

CRM-M-5710-2020
Date of Decision: 22.08.2024

Poonam Puri

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

....Respondent

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr. Vishal Sharma, Advocate
 for the petitioner.

 Mr. Ashish Bishnoi, DAG, Haryana.

 Mr. Rajiv Sharma, Advocate and
 Mr. Gautam Kaile, Advocate
 for the complainant.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
336	28.07.2010	Model Town, Panipat	403, 405, 408, 409, 411, 467, 471, 477-A, 420, 120-B, 477 IPC

Seeking quashing of FIR captioned above, petitioner has come up before this Court by filing the present petition under Section 482 CrPC.

2. State counsel on instructions submits that charges have been framed.

3. Given the above contention that the charges have been framed and judicial order has been passed and report under Section 173 CrPC would merge in the said order and it is legally required to challenge the same.

4. Faced with the situation, petitioner’s counsel seeks permission to withdraw the present petition with liberty to challenge the order of framing of charges also.

5. Given above, petition is disposed of as withdrawn with liberty as prayed for. It is clarified that filing and withdrawal of the present petition shall not come in the way, in case petitioner chooses to file fresh petition in accordance with law. It is made clear that in case such petition is filed, the time for which the present petition was pending before this Court, shall not be counted while calculating the delay in challenging the framing of charges. Pending applications, if any, stand disposed of.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

22.08.2024
anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable?	No