



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-3525-2021(O&M)
Date of decision: 07.08.2024

Yogesh Juneja
... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others
... Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Sandeep Sharma, Advocate,
Mr. Rohan Moudgil and Ms. Gitanjali, Advocates,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Addl. AG, Haryana, and
Mr. Karan Jindal, Asstt. AG, Haryana.

Mr. Ankur Mittal, Advocate, and
Ms. Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate, for respondents No.2 to 4.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

Learned counsel for respondents No.2 to 4-HSVP submits that although the subject site/plot was allotted to the petitioner, but owing to pending litigation, he could not be delivered actual physical possession thereof. He submits that, in fact, the petition filed by the landowner, i.e. CWP-7064-2008 (**Rajbir Singh v. State of Haryana and others**), was dismissed by a coordinate Bench on September 21, 2023. Whereafter, the possession of the subject site was to be obtained. And, for now that development works are being carried out, the actual physical possession of the site allotted to the petitioner, free from all encumbrances would be offered at the earliest.



That being so, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that let the petition be disposed of in terms of the statement made by learned counsel for respondents No.2 to 4. Even otherwise, he has specific instructions not to pursue the matter any further.

In the wake of the position sketched out above, and in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties, the petition stands disposed of.

(Arun Palli)
Judge

(Karamjit Singh)
Judge

07.08.2024
Rajan

Whether speaking / reasoned:	YES/NO
Whether Reportable:	YES/NO