

IN THE PUNJAB AND HARYANA HIGH COURT AT
CHANDIGARH

118

CWP-2723-2024
Date of Decision: 07.02.2024

DALJEET

... Petitioner

VERSUS

BOARD OF SCHOOL EDUCATION HARYANA, BHIWANI

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ.

Present: Mr. Vishwajeet Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the present petition is for directing the respondents to issue Mark Sheet to the petitioner for Class 8 (Middle) and Class 10 (Matriculation).

Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner had appeared in his Middle and Matriculation Examinations with the respondent-Haryana School Education Board. It is contended that the petitioner made various efforts to enter a Govt. Job. During the said process, he met one Parmanand son of Kehar Singh, who assured that he could legally and validly get the age of the petitioner reduced in the documents so as to become eligible for joining the Armed Forces. Believing him, the petitioner handed over his original mark sheets to him. The signatures were also obtained on blank papers. A Decree of the Court at Panipat was thereafter handed over by said Parmanand to the petitioner. On the basis thereof, the petitioner submitted an application with the respondent to carry out change in the date of birth in his mark sheets, whereupon the respondent -Haryana School Education Board directed the

petitioner to deposit his original mark sheets before a new mark sheet could be issued. Consequently, the petitioner deposited his mark sheets of Middle and Matriculation standard on 20.07.2020 vide Diary No.20013D with the respondent-Haryana School Education Board. However, it later transpired that the said Parmanand son of Kehar Singh had forged the alleged Decree Sheet of the Courts at Panipat. Noticing that the Decree Sheet itself was forged, the respondent-Haryana School Education Board retained the said original mark sheets. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that the petitioner is no more in possession of his original mark sheets and that he had no concern with said Parmanand. He submits that the petitioner has submitted a representation to the Haryana School Education Board and that under similar circumstances, CWP No.22467 of 2020 was disposed of by this Court vide order dated 16.11.2022 directing the respondents therein to examine the claim of the petitioner therein and to take appropriate decision. He contends that he would be satisfied at this juncture in case, the respondent - Haryana School Education Board is directed to treat the present writ petition as a representation and further to consider and decide the same by way of passing a reasoned and speaking order in accordance with law.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Vivek Saini, Addl. A.G., Haryana accepts notice and has no objection to the prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner.

In view of the above, with consent of the parties and without commenting anything on the merits of the case, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the respondent-Haryana School Education Board to treat the instant writ petition as a representation and to take a decision as

regards the prayer made in the present petition as per law. Let the needful be done within a period of three months of the receipt of certified copy of this order.

Petition stands disposed of accordingly.

FEBRUARY 07, 2024
rajender

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No