

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-3558-2022

Date of decision: 29.08.2024

Chander Hass

.... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

.... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. Rajesh Kumar Kadian, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Maninderjit Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Amit Jaiswal, Advocate
for the respondents-HSVP.

Mr. Deepak Bhardwaj, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

ARUN PALLI, J.

Having argued the matter at some length, learned counsel for the parties submit that in the wake of the policy dated August 06, 2024, issued by the Haryana Shehri Vikas Pradhikaran (HSVP), that envisages allotment of alternate site/plot to the original allottees/reallottees, the claim of the petitioners could be examined in terms thereof. However, learned counsel for the respondents-HSVP submit that owing to the Model Code of Conduct, that is in operation with respect to the ensuing Assembly Elections, three months' time be granted to the authorities to deal with the concerns/grievances of each of the petitioner and pass necessary orders, in accordance with law. It is urged that before any such orders are passed, the petitioner shall also be heard. And, a formal communication in this regard shall be issued to him, well in advance.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is agreeable to the course suggested by the learned counsel for the respondents-HSVP and submits that let this petition be disposed of in terms of the statement made by him.

In the wake of the position sketched out above, and in terms of the statement made by learned counsel for the parties, this petition is accordingly disposed of. However, the interim order dated July 29, 2022, will continue to operate till a formal decision, as indicated above, is reached by the respondent authorities.

This Court is sanguine that the authority shall consider/examine the matter in the right earnest, and pass appropriate orders, assigning reasons in support thereof, as expeditiously as possible.

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute an expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated earlier, the competent authority shall examine the grievances of the petitioner, strictly in accordance with law.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

29.08.2024
deepak

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No