

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

115

2024:PHHC:034017

1. COCP-242-2022
Date of decision: 11.03.2024

BABU LAL SHARMA ..Petitioner

Versus

JAI BHAGWAN AND OTHERS ..Respondents

2. COCP-2589-2022

GEETA DEVI ..Petitioner

Versus

JAI BHAGWAN AND OTHERS ..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Satvik Bansal, Advocate
and Mr. Amitabh Tewari, Advocate
for the petitioner (in COCP-242-2022).

Mr. Sunil Sihag, Advocate
for the petitioner (in COCP-2589-2022).

Mr. Akash Yadav, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Mukul Goyal, Advocate
for respondent No.2 (in COCP-242-2022).

Mr. S.S. Nain, Advocate
for respondent No.3 (in COCP-2589-2022).

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J(Oral)

1. These two connected civil original contempt petitions have been filed alleging violation of ad interim order passed by this Court directing the parties to maintain status-quo, but, the revenue authorities have mutated the land in favour of the respondents.

2 Whereas, the learned counsel representing the respondents submits that the aforesaid mutation has already been cancelled.

COCp-242-2022 &
COCp-2589-2022

-2-

- 3. Keeping in view the aforesaid developments, there is no reason to continue with these contempt petitions.
- 4. Disposed of accordingly.
- 5. The petitioner shall have liberty to file an appropriate application in the pending appeal, if required.
- 6. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

March 11th, 2024
Ay

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No