

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-1088-2024
DECIDED ON: 22.02.2024

RAJPREET KAUR AND ANOTHER

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Ms. Manjit Kumar Saini, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Jasjit Singh Rattu, DAG Punjab.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

1. The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of *mandamus* for directing the respondents No.1 to 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 & 5.
2. This Court on 05.02.2024 called upon for a status report from the Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar (Rural), who has produced the same by way of an affidavit dated 22.02.2024, wherein para 4 & 6 read as under:-

“4. That in his aforesaid report dated 13.02.2024, the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Sub-division Ajnala, Amritsar (Rural), has reported that the enquiry of the application filed by the petitioner was conducted through the Station House Officer, Police Station Ajnala (respondent No. 3), who has stated that the petitioners were summoned and their statements were recorded, who had stated in their respective statements that at present they do not require any security and if in

future, any security will be required by them, they will inform the local police.

6. That so far, as the protection of life and liberty of the petitioners is concerned, the police authorities of the Amritsar (Rural) are committed and duty bound to protect their life and liberty by providing every possible help to them whenever required by them. The answering respondents undertake that necessary action will be immediately taken to ensure safety of life and liberty of the petitioners after carrying out ground level assessment and if the need be, adequate security will be provided to them in accordance with the rules and procedure.”

3. This Court is duly convinced with the status report, whereby it has been categorically recorded that there is no material on record after recording the statements of the petitioners that they do not have any kind of threat to their lives and liberty at the hands of respondent Nos.4 & 5 and they do not require any security.

4. In the light of above, no threat perception actually exists even at the time of filing of the present petition, hence no direction or interference by this Court is invited, therefore, the present petition being devoid of merits stands dismissed.

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

22.02.2024

Meenu

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>