

[264] IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-5718-2023
Date of Decision : 21.02.2024

Baldev Singh ...Petitioner
versus
State of Punjab and othersRespondents

Coram : **HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA**
Present : None for the petitioner.
Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, DAG, Punjab.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

[1] On the last date of hearing, the following order was passed:-

“ Reply by way of affidavit of Shri Rachhpal Singh, PPS, DSP, Raikot District Ludhiana (Rural) has been filed on behalf of respondents No.4 and 5 along with inquiry report, which would reveal that recommendation has been made to cancel the FIR. However, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner.

In the interest of justice, adjourned to 21.02.2024.
No further adjournment shall be granted. ”

[2] Today, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner despite the fact that it was made clear that no further adjournment shall be granted. It is also brought to the notice in the reply by the respondents that recommendation had already been made to cancel the FIR.

[3] In the aforesaid circumstances, it appears that the petitioner is no longer interested in pursuing the present petition.

[4] Dismissed in default for want of prosecution.

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

21.02.2024
'Rajneesh'