

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-3519-2024

Date of decision: 06.03.2024

Arjun Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

....Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARUN PALLI
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKRAM AGGARWAL**

Present: Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

ARUN PALLI, J. (Oral)

The petitioner has prayed for the following substantive relief:-

“Civil Writ Petition under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India, for issuing of appropriate order, writ, or direction especially a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the impugned order No.803 dated 17.06.2021 (Annexure P-4) hereby the respondent No.3 by affirming the charging of non-construction charges without providing any basic amenities to the petitioner.

AND.

Further issue a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to waive the non construction charges levied on the petition and also provide basic amenities to raise construction on the allotted site.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that prior to the institution of this petition, the petitioner had even served the respondent authorities with representations dated 24.01.2023 (P-5) and 12.04.2023

(P-6), as also the legal notice dated 23.05.2023 (P-9), but the same have failed to evoke any response

Served with the advance copy of the petition, Mr. Shekhar Verma, learned Additional Advocate General, Punjab, is present in Court. At the outset, he submits that as the competent authority is already in seisin of the concerns/grievances of the petitioner, it would be expedient if this petition is disposed of, at this stage, to enable the respondent authorities to consider and pass necessary orders on his representations/legal notice (*ibid*), in accordance with law. Further, he submits that before any such orders are passed, the petitioner shall also be heard.

Learned counsel for the petitioner is in agreement with the course suggested by learned counsel for the respondents, and submits that let this petition be disposed of, in terms of the statement made by him. However, it is urged that the authorities be directed to do the needful within a specified time.

In response, learned counsel for the respondents submits that appropriate orders shall be passed within a period of four weeks from today.

The petition is accordingly disposed of, in terms of the statements made by learned counsel for the parties.

This Court is sanguine that the authorities shall look into the matter in the right earnest. And the appropriate orders shall be passed within the time indicated by the learned counsel for the respondents.

Needless to assert that this order shall not constitute any expression of opinion on the merits of the case of either party, for, as indicated above, the competent authority shall examine the concerns/grievances of the petitioner, strictly in accordance with law.

(ARUN PALLI)
JUDGE

(VIKRAM AGGARWAL)
JUDGE

06.03.2024
Ak Sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether reportable	Yes/No

