



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH
CRM-M-6796-2022
Date of Decision:08.08.2024

Dr.Suryanarayana Murthy ...Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana and Anr. ...Respondents

Coram : Hon’ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present: Mr. R.S Cheema, Sr. Advocate with
Ms.Tarannum Cheema, Advocate and
Mr. Satish Sharma,Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Sheenu Sura, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Vivek Singla, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

1. At the very outset, learned senior counsel submits that after the filing of the second closure report, before the Court of Judicial Magistrate Ist class, the complainant has filed a protest petition, which has already been treated as a complaint.
2. In view of this, he does not intent to press the present petition and wants to withdraw the petition.
3. Dismissed as withdrawn.
4. Needless to observe that, both the parties shall be at liberty to avail their remedies, if any, before the appropriate Court, in accordance with law.

08.08.2024
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE
Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No