

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

207

CWP-106-1997

NARESH KUMAR MEHRA

.... Petitioner

Versus

THE PRESIDING OFFICER, LABOUR COURT, JALANDHAR
AND ORS

....Respondents

M/S SOL PHARMACEUTICALS LTD.

CWP-12701-1997
.... Petitioner

Versus

NARESH KUMAR MEHRA AND ORS

....Respondents

Date of Decision: 18.03.2024

CORAM:HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

Present: Mr. K.L. Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner (in CWP-106-1997)
for respondent No.1 (in CWP-12701-1997).

None for the petitioner (in CWP-12701-1997).

SANJAY VASHISTH, J.(Oral)

CWP-106-1997

1. On 23.01.2024, the following order was passed by this Court:

*“Present: Mr. K.L. Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner in CWP-106-1997.
None for the respondent.

It is noticed that by way of present writ
petition, petitioner-Naresh Kumar Mehra has*

prayed for issuance of direction to the respondents to implement the award dated 19.01.1996, (Annexure P-4) published in the Gazette of Punjab Government on 03.05.1996.

Under reference No. 299/1995, learned Labour Courts, Jalandhar, set-aside the order of termination of service of the Workman Naresh Kumar Mehra and ordered for his reinstatement into service with full back wages, continuity of service and consequential benefits.

Writ petition was filed way back in the year 1997 and after appearance of the respondents, the prayer made in the writ petition has never been considered for its decision.

Mr. K.L. Arora, learned counsel for the petitioner also informs the Court that after about 26-27 years, writ petition has been listed for its hearing and therefore, he is unable to explain the facts and circumstances which have taken place after filing of the writ petition in the last 26-27 years. Thus, he prays for grant of some time.

Adjourned to 15.02.2024”

2. Again on 15.02.2024, the following order was passed:

*“Present: Mr. K.L. Arora, Advocate
for the petitioner(s) (in CWP-106-1997).
None for the petitioner(s) (in CWP-12701-1997)*

1. Counsel for the petitioner (in CWP-106-1997) submits that inadvertently, registered letter was sent at H.No.168, whereas, correct address of his client - Naresh Kumar Mehra, is H.No.158, Lajpat Nagar, Jalandhar City. Thus, he prays for grant of some more time to post another registered letter at the correct address.

Adjourned to 18.03.2024.

2. Besides, in CWP-12701-1997, no one has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioner-Management.

Let notice be issued to the petitioner-Management for 18.03.2024.

Photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected case”

3. Mr. K.L. Arora, Advocate, representing the petitioner (in CWP-106-1997) submits that the petitioner has contacted him but he has not instructed in specific to press upon the prayer made in the

present petition, thus, Mr. K.L. Arora, Advocate, expresses his inability to assist the Court, in the absence of instructions.

4. In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed for want of prosecution.

CWP-12701-1997

1. Consecutively for the last two dates of hearing, none appeared on behalf of the petitioner. Today again, there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. It seems that the petitioner is not interested in pursuing the present writ petition.

2. Dismissed for want of prosecution.

3. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of another connected case.

March 18, 2024
rashmi

[SANJAY VASHISTH]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned
Whether reportable?

yes/no
yes/no