

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

213

CWP-10580-1997
Decided on :15.02.2024

Mridula Thakur
Versus
State of Punjab and another
... Petitioner
... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: None for the petitioner.

Ms. Neha Sonawane, DAG, Punjab
for respondent No. 1.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. Present Civil Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the order (Annexure P-2) for not allotting House No.3082, Sector 39-D, Chandigarh in the name of the petitioner.
2. Learned State counsel has submitted that the matter is of the year 1997 and as per the instructions, the petitioner has been allotted the said premises and thus, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous.
3. None has appeared on behalf of the petitioner to rebut the said aspect inspite of the report of the registry that the counsel for the petitioner has been informed.
4. In view of the above, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to move an application for restoration of the present case or to file a fresh petition, in case, any cause survives to her.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

15.02.2024

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/~~No~~
~~Yes~~/No