



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(263) CWP-2721-2022
Date of Decision : 01.08.2024

Prem Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

(264) CWP-2783-2022

Nirmal Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

(265) CWP-2806-2022

Jarnail Singh ...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Ashok Giri, Advocate for the petitioner(s) in all cases.

Mr. Arun Gupta, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab.

Harsimran Singh Sethi J. (Oral)

1. By this common order, three writ petitions, the details of which have been given in the heading, are being decided as all these petitions involve the same question of law on similar facts.



2. In the present petitions, the grievance being raised by the petitioner(s) is that the benefit of the temporary/daily wage service rendered by the petitioner(s) prior to regularization of their services has not been taken into account as a qualifying service for computing the pensionary benefits, which act on the part of the respondents is not totally arbitrary and illegal but contrary to the settled principle of law settled by the Full Bench of this Court in **Kesar Chand Vs. State of Punjab and others'**, AIR 1988 Punjab 265.

3. Learned State counsel on instructions from Sh. Jaspal Singh Sodhi, Junior Assistant and Ms. Gurpreet Kaur, Legal Assistant, O/o District Controller, Fatehgarh Sahib, submits that there is no impediment in counting the daily wage service of the petitioner(s) but, as of now there is no record with the department to grant the said relief but in case, the petitioner(s) substantiate their claim with any document with regard to the temporary/daily wage service rendered by them prior to their regularization, the same will be taken into account and appropriate order will be passed within a period of eight weeks of the receipt of any such claim supported by the documents is presented and in case, it is found feasible to grant the relief to the petitioner(s), the same will be granted, otherwise due reasons will be mentioned in the speaking order for not accepting the claim of the petitioner(s).

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner(s) submits that the present petitions may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further with liberty to file appropriate representation raising the plea of temporary/daily wage service duly supported by the documents.



- 5. Ordered accordingly.
- 6. Pending miscellaneous application, if any, also stands disposed of.
- 7. A photocopy of this order be placed on the file of connected cases.

August 01, 2024
kanchan

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes
Whether reportable : No