

THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP No.3627 of 2024
Date of Decision: 22.02.2024

Winter Hills-77 Apartments Owners Association
..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others
..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Ashish Chopra, Senior Advocate with
Mr. Yash Pal Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J. (ORAL)

Present writ petition has been filed for directing respondents No.2 to 7 to carry out fresh demarcation exercise of the land falling in Rasta bearing Khasra Nos.911/1/2, 914/2 and 921/1 as marked in the layout plan, Annexure P-11, in the presence of concerned parties after verifying/determining the pucca points in accordance with Chapter I Part M(i), Volume I of the Rules and Orders of the Punjab and Haryana High Court and Haryana Land Record Manual.

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petition has been filed for issuance of mandamus to carry out the fresh demarcation.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Ms. Upasna Dhawan, AAG, Haryana appears and accepts notice on behalf of the respondents No.1, 5,

6 and 7-State. She on instructions from Ajay Kumar, Tehsildar, Manesar, Gurugram has submitted before this Court that prayer made by the petitioner in this case has already been granted as the demarcation has already been carried out on 26.12.2023.

Mr. B. R. Mahajan, Senior Advocate with Mr. Rajesh Gaur, Advocate has appeared on behalf of respondents No.2 to 4. He has filed a copy of the demarcation report. Copy thereof has been supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

Learned senior counsel for the petitioner fairly submits that as the State has carried out the fresh demarcation, so in these circumstances, the present petition be disposed of with direction to respondent No.2 to carry out further necessary action in accordance with the demarcation report as per law.

Heard.

As the grievance raised by the petitioner in the present petition has already been redressed, the present petition is disposed of as infructuous. However, respondent No.2 i.e. Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Manesar is directed to carry out further necessary action in accordance with he demarcation report as per law.

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

22.02.2024
rittu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No