

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

212

CWP-10461-1997
Decided on :15.02.2024

Krishan Chander

. . . Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

. . . Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: Mr. Ishaan Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. A.G., Haryana
for respondent Nos. 1 to 3.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. Present Civil Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to consider and promote the petitioner to the next higher rank of Inspector.
2. Learned State counsel has submitted that the matter is of the year 1997 and in all probability, the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous.
3. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that he is not in touch with his client and thus, the present writ petition may kindly be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous at this stage but liberty be granted to the petitioner to move an application for restoration of the present case or to file a fresh petition, in case, any cause survives to him.
4. In view of the above, the present Civil Writ Petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous with liberty aforesaid.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

15.02.2024

Mehak

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/~~No~~
~~Yes~~/No