



204

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-5953-2024

Date of decision: 11.07.2024

Jagtar Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARPREET SINGH BRAR**Present:** Mr. Ramandeep, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, DAG, Punjab.

Ms. Puja Chopra, Advocate
for respondent No.2.**HARPREET SINGH BRAR, J. (ORAL)**

This petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C. seeking anticipatory bail in case bearing FIR No.105 dated 11.12.2023 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 406/498-A of IPC registered at Police Station Women District Patiala.

On 05.02.2024, the following order was passed:-

'The present petition has been filed by the petitioner seeking prearrest bail in case FIR No.105 dated 11.12.2023 registered under Section 406 and 498-A at Police Station Women, District Patiala on the basis of complaint submitted by respondent No.2-Siram Kaur alleging therein that she was married with the present petitioner on 14.05.2005 and soon after her marriage, the petitioner and his family members, had started harassing her on account of demand of dowry and for bringing insufficient dowry articles despite the fact that her parents had spend money beyond their capacity in her marriage. While quoting several instances of her being physically as well as mentally harassed at the hands of her husband and his family members, she prayed for taking legal action against them.

Notice of motion.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, D.A.G., Punjab accepts notice on behalf of respondent-State and seeks time file status report.

Notice to respondent No.2 be issued for 18.04.2024 after depositing process fee by the petitioner.

In the meantime, the petitioner is directed to appear before the Investigating/Arresting Officer to join investigation within one week



CRM-M-5953-2024

-2-

or as and when subsequently required thereafter. In the event of his arrest, the Investigating/Arresting Officer shall release the petitioner on interim bail on furnishing personal/surety bonds to his/her satisfaction. The petitioner shall also abide by the conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. '

Learned State counsel on instructions from ASI Mandeep Kaur, at the very outset informs the Court that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is not required.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 has vehemently opposed the anticipatory bail to the petitioner on the ground that there are serious and specific allegations against the petitioner and as such, he is not entitled to anticipatory bail.

In view of the statement of learned State counsel, order dated 05.02.2024 is hereby made absolute. The petitioner shall abide by the terms and conditions envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C.

The petition stands disposed of.

(HARPREET SINGH BRAR)
JUDGE

11.07.2024

Neha

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No