

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

275

CRM-M-6878-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision : 09.01.2024

Rajender Singla and others Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and others Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present: Mr. Vivek Goyal, Advocate and
Mr. Pardeep Sehrawat, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashok Kumar Sehrawat, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Partap Singh, Advocate and
Mr. Kamal Mor, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 4.

Mr. Vicky Sharma, Mr. K.S. Dhaliwal and
Mr. KPS Virk, Advocates
for respondent No.3.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. Present petition has been filed invoking Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking quashing of FIR No.621 dated 18.10.2018, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 34, 406, 420 and 506 of IPC at Police Station Gohana City, District Sonapat.
2. During the pendency of the present petition with the concurrence of the counsels representing the parties, the matter was referred before the Mediation & Conciliation Centre attached to this Court vide order dated 02.08.2023.

2024:PHHC:003735

3. Report of the Mediator has been produced on record. As per the same, the parties have arrived at a settlement dated 15.12.2023 which has been reduced into writing. The terms thereof read as under:-

“xx xx xx

7. The following settlement has been arrived at between the parties hereto:

- a) That the first party has settled the matter/dispute finally with the second party and now no dispute remains with them. Everything has been settled.
- b) That the second party has no objection if the FIR against the first party i.e. FIR No.621 dated 18.10.2018 was registered under Section 34, 406, 420 and 506 IPC at Police Station Gohana City, District Sonapat is quashed as their dispute has been settled and no further payment is due from the first party.
- c) That the parties undertake not to raise any further claim against each other regarding the present dispute.

8. It has been further agreed that if the parties do not abide by this compromise then the other party would be at liberty to take all legal remedies available to them for determining their rights.

9. By signing this Agreement the parties hereto state that they have no further claims or demands against each other and all the disputes and differences in this regard have been amicably settled by the parties hereto through the process of Conciliation/Mediation and shall not institute any other case against each other with reference to the present dispute.

10. That the parties undertake before the Hon'ble Court to abide by the terms and conditions set out in

the agreement and not to dispute the same hereinafter in future.

11. That the parties to this agreement hereby state that they have read the agreement, that they have understood the contents thereof and their execution of agreement is voluntary.

12. The execution of this agreement, each party acknowledges receipt of copy of this agreement.

13. That it has been further agreed between the parties that in case of necessity, both the parties shall be free to present the copy of the above compromise before any authority or Court if the same is required to witness the execution of the compromise or to settle any pending controversy between the parties.”

4. Counsels submits that both the parties shall remain bound by the same. Complainant is present in person who has been identified by Mr. Partap Singh, Advocate.

5. In view of above, present petition is allowed. FIR No.621 dated 18.10.2018, registered for the offences punishable under Sections 34, 406, 420 and 506 of IPC at Police Station Gohana City, District Sonapat and all proceedings arising therefrom, are, hereby, quashed qua the petitioners.

6. Since the main case has been decided, pending miscellaneous application, if any, shall also stands disposed off.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

09.01.2024
Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes

Whether Reportable : No