



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-5799-2024
Date of decision: 01.07.2024

MANPREET SINGHPetitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB ...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present: - Mr. Vishnu Dutt, Advocate for
Mr. Naveen Bawa, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Eklavya Darshi, DAG, Punjab.

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

By way of present petition filed under Section 438 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973, petitioner seeks anticipatory bail in case FIR (Annexure P-1) as under:

FIR No.	Dated	Sections	Police Station
36	20.02.2023	307, 323, 341, 506, 149 of IPC.	Division No.6, District Ludhiana.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that in compliance to the order dated 05.02.2024 passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation.
3. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Ranbir Singh, P.S. Division No.6, Ludhiana, informs the Court that the petitioner has joined investigation and is neither required for further investigation nor for any custodial investigation.
4. During the course of hearing on 05.02.2024, following order



CRM-M-5799-2024

2

was passed:

“The petitioner seeks grant of anticipatory bail in respect of a case registered against him vide FIR No. 36 dated 20.2.2023, Police Station Division No.6, District Ludhiana, under Sections 307, 323, 341, 506, 149 IPC.

The FIR was lodged at the instance of Kamaljit Singh wherein it is alleged that on 18.2.2023 when he and his brother Ranjit Singh were proceeding towards their home in their car, then they met with an accident. It is further alleged that on account of the said accident, the occupants of the other car and their other associates inflicted injuries to the complainant and to his brother with fists. While as many as 3 persons are duly named in the FIR, but it is also alleged the said three persons were accompanied by 8 other unidentified persons.

Although, the petitioner is not named in the FIR but subsequently the complainant got his supplementary statement recorded after about 2 weeks wherein he named 4 more persons namely Manpreet Singh (petitioner), Gaurav Maurya, Tarun Sharma and Ricky Singh to be amongst the assailants.

Learned counsel submits that the matter has been amicably resolved and has been compromised and the main accused who is named in the FIR has already been granted regular bail. Learned counsel further submits that the presence of the petitioner in any case is doubtful inasmuch as he is not named in the FIR.

Notice of motion for 01.7.2024.

Meanwhile, in the event of arrest, the petitioner be released on interim bail subject to his furnishing personal bonds and surety bonds to the satisfaction of Arresting/Investigating Officer. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so and cooperate with the Arresting/Investigating Officer and shall



CRM-M-5799-2024

3

abide by the conditions as provided under Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.”

5. Keeping in view the above submissions made by learned State counsel and the fact that the petitioner had joined the investigation consequent to the order dated 05.02.2024 passed by this Court, interim bail granted vide order dated 05.02.2024 is hereby confirmed, subject to conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Further the petitioner is directed to join investigation as and when required in future by way of written notice for such purpose to be served by Investigating Officer of this case upon the petitioner; he will not tamper with the evidence nor will influence the witnesses and will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court.

6. The petition stands allowed.

7. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

01.07.2024
kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |