



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

246

CRWP-937-2024

Date of Decision : May 16, 2024

PINKI

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND ORS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr. S.D.Bishnoi, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. R.K.Singla, DAG, Haryana.
Mr. Deepak Girotra, Advocate for respondents No.4 and 5.

KULDEEP TIWARI, J. (Oral)

1. Through the instant petition, prayer is made for issuance of mandamus upon respondents No.2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner.

2. On 2.4.2024, the following order was passed:-

“Mr. Deepak Girotra, Advocate appears on behalf of respondents No.4 and 5, and files his vakalatnama, which is taken on record.

This Court had put a specific query to the learned counsel for respondents No.4 and 5, that how can they create a hindrance, on installation of the mobile tower, that too on the private property of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for respondents No.4 and 5 submits that installation of the mobile tower would effect the security of the vicinity, therefore, they are obstructing the installation of the mobile tower.

Learned counsel for respondents No.4 and 5, requests for an adjournment to address arguments in the instant petition.

Adjourned to 16.05.2024.

In the meanwhile, Superintendent of Police, Rohtak is directed to ensure that no harm shall be



CRWP-937-2024

-2-

caused to the petitioner, at the hands of the private respondents, or any other person in the vicinity, specifically, when the issue is with regard to the installation of the mobile tower, at the private property of the petitioner.”

3. In compliance to the directions issued by this Court, reply by way of affidavit of DSP, Meham, District Rohtak has been filed, which is taken on record. The relevant extract of the same reads as under:-

“That in compliance of order of the Hon'ble High Court the proper security has been provided to the petitioner and the mobile tower company has started the installation work of tower on the spot. The work is being going on smoothly on the spot and now the petitioner is not having any apprehension danger to her life and she is fully COM&atisfied with police proceedings. To this the petitioner has recorded her statement. The copy of statement is attached herewith as Annexure -R1.”

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that adequate protection has been granted to the petitioner and now there is no hindrance on the installation of the tower on the land of the petitioner.

5. In view of the fact that the grievance of the petitioner has been redressed, no further order is required to be passed in the instant petition and, therefore, the same is **closed**.

6. **Disposed** of accordingly.

May 16, 2024
ajay-1

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No