

264 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP-998-2024
Date of decision : 12.02.2024

Radha Devi and anr. Petitioners

versus

State of Haryana and ors. Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present :- Mr. Pranshul Dhull, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashok Kumar Sehrawat, DAG, Haryana

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (ORAL)

1. Status report filed. The same is taken on record. Copy thereof supplied to the learned counsel for the petitioners.
2. As per status report, it has been claimed as under:-

“3. That the facts emanating from the present case are that a complaint dated 27.01.2024 was received from the petitioner, which was enquired by the local police and the statements of the private respondents were recorded. However, the allegation levelled in the aforesaid complaint dated 27.01.2024 were not substantiated by any cogent and reliable evidence. A copy of the said complaint is annexed as Annexure R-1. Thereafter, a notice of the present petition was received from the Hon'ble High Court and the allegations levelled in the present petition are almost same, which were leveled in the compliant. It is also pertinent to mention here that in view of the complaint annexure R-1, the local police has visited the house of petitioner no. 2 for conducting an enquiry in the matter at village Malab, P.S. Sadar Nuh, District Nuh to join the petitioner no. 1 and 2 in the enquiry proceedings conducted by the local police. However, they are not found residing at the house of petitioner no. 2 at village Malab, P.S. Sadar Nuh, District Nuh. Hence, they could not be joined in the enquiry proceedings to enquire into the allegations levelled against the private respondents no. 4 to 10.

4. That it is also pertinent to mention here that during the course of enquiry, the private respondents No.6, 6, 8, 9, 10, who are family members of petitioner Nos.1 and 2 were joined in the enquiry proceedings and statements were recorded in which they have categorically stated that they have neither created any danger to the petitioners nor they will create any danger to the life and liberty of the petitioners in furture and petitioner No.1 and 2 can reside anywhere as per their wishes. The aforesaid statements are annexed as Annexure R-2 to R-6.”

3. In view thereof, learned counsel for the petitioners records his satisfaction to the case being rendered infructuous.
4. Dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.
5. Ordered accordingly.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

12.02.2024
spn

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No