

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-1006-2024

Date of decision: 02.02.2024

GIANVATI AND OTHERS

...PETITIONER(S)

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

....RESPONDENT(S)

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY

Present:- Mr. Arvind Galav, Advocate for the petitioners.

SANJIV BERRY, J.(ORAL)

1. The instant petition under Section 226 of the Constitution of India has been preferred by the petitioners seeking appropriate directions to the official respondents for protection of the life and liberty of the petitioners.

2. It is, *inter alia*, contended by learned counsel for the petitioners that on account of the act and conduct of respondent No.4, the petitioners had moved the police authorities for taking appropriate legal action, but of no consequence, he submits that even complaint /representation (Annexure P-7) was moved to respondent No.2 on 27.12.2023, but till date no action thereon has been taken. He submits that for the purpose of the petition, the petitioners will be satisfied if appropriate directions are given to respondent No.2- The Senior Superintendent of Police, District Fatehgarh Sahib, to consider and dispose of the representation/complaint (Annexure P-7) dated 27.12.2023 in accordance with law in an expeditious manner.

3. Notice of motion to official respondents.

4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. Sarabjit Singh Cheema, DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State.
5. After hearing the respective submissions and also the averments made in the petition by learned counsel for the petitioners, without commenting on the purpose of the case, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2- The Senior Superintendent of Police, District Fatehgarh Sahib, to consider and dispose of the representation/complaint dated 27.12.2023 (Annexure P-7) moved by the petitioners in accordance with law in an expeditious manner and the decision so taken be also intimated to the petitioners as well.
6. Disposed of.
7. It is made clear that anything contained hereinabove shall not be construed to be an expression of opinion on the merits of the case.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

02.02.2024

kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |