

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

313(3)

CWP-1890-2023
Date of Decision:02.04.2024

Dr. Tejinder Kaur Dhaliwal and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

Amrish Kumar

CWP-3024 of 2023

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

Paramvir Singh and another

CWP-1465 of 2023

....Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE AMAN CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Sameer Sachdeva, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. Arun Gupta, DAG, Punjab

AMAN CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

1. This common order shall dispose of the above petitions as they involve the same issue.
2. The prayer made by the petitioners is for quashing the impugned orders, whereby pursuant to the offending portion of letter dated 28.09.2022, they are being abruptly and prematurely relieved from their services.

3. Learned counsel submits that the petitioners were serving on private/ Govt. aided teaching posts in different colleges and were entitled to continue in service till the age of 60 years, however, by misinterpreting the UGC recommendations implemented w.e.f. 01.01.2016 in the State of Punjab vide notification dated 28.09.2022, the same was reduced to 58 years, with regard to which, he on instructions from them prays that liberty be granted to file representations, to bring to the notice of the authorities all the facts supporting the same with documents and relying on **D.A.V. College and Management Society and Others vs. The Punjab University, Chandigarh and another**, CWP-3703-1983, decided on 04.02.1986, which may be directed to be decided in a time bound manner by granting them an opportunity of hearing.
4. Learned State counsel has no objection to the limited prayer made.
5. In view of the aforesaid and without commenting upon the merits of the case, the petitions are hereby disposed of with a direction that in case the petitioners submit representations within a period of 4 weeks, substantiating their claim with documents, the same be considered and decided by the respondents taking into account the judgment relied upon and pleas raised, within a period of six months and if found entitled, necessary benefit be granted to forthwith. However, in the eventuality of the relief being denied, a speaking order be passed, after associating them therewith.
6. Pending applications, if any, also stand disposed of.
7. A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of the connected cases.

April 02, 2024
dinesh

(AMAN CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE