

CRWP-890-2024

1

2024:PHHC:021597

271 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRWP-890-2024

Date of decision: 14.02.2024

Naveen Kumar and another

...Petitioners

Vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present: Ms. Sapna Khurana, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Rahul Vats, Advocate for respondent Nos.4 to 7.

PANKAJ JAIN, J.(ORAL)

Reply by way of affidavit of Desh Raj, HPS, DSP, Badhra,
District Dadri has been filed on behalf of respondent(s)-State of Haryana.
The same is taken on record. Copy thereof be supplied to the opposite
counsel.

Para Nos.3 and 4 of preliminary submissions reads as under:-

“3. That during enquiry joint statement of Moti Ram, respondent No. 4 (father of petitioner) Himani, Dheeraj, respondent No. 5 (Brother of petitioner Himani) and Mohit, respondent No. 6 (Brother of petitioner Himani) was recorded in which the private respondents have stated that Himani is missing from house since 18.11.2023 and they have got registered a case FIR No. 247 dated 18.11.2023 under section 346 IPC PS Jhojhu Kalan in this regard. However, she has not been traced so far. They are having no contact with her and have never given any threat to the petitioners. She is free to live where she wants and they have no objections. True translated copy of the statement of respondents No. 4 to 6 is annexed herewith as Annexure R-1.

4. That the whereabouts of the petitioners is not known and adequate security to the petitioners in the jurisdiction of district Charkhi Dadri shall be provided if need arises so and it will also be ensured that life and liberty of the petitioners shall not be infringed. Hence, the present petition is liable to be dismissed qua the answering respondents.”

Learned State counsel undertakes that in case of any threat, protection to the petitioners shall be provided.

Mr. Rahul Vats, appears on behalf of respondents No. 4 to 7 and filed his power of attorney, which is taken on record. He submits that private respondents have no intend to cause any harm to any of the petitioners, but they do not want to keep any contact/relations with them.

In view of the aforesaid stand taken by the private respondents, no further order is warranted.

In view of the above, the present petition is disposed of accordingly.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

14.02.2024

neeraj	Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
	Whether reportable?	Yes/No