


2024.PHHC-091969-DB



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

(117)

CWP-2762-2024

Decided on : 22.07.2024

Bimla Devi & others

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana & others

.....Respondent(s)

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA
HON'BLE MRS.JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA**

Present: Mr.Uday Agnihotri, Advocate for the petitioner (s).

Mr.Ankur Mittal, Addl.A.G., Haryana
Mr.Saurabh Mago, DAG, Haryana.

Mr.Ankur Mittal, Addl.A.G., Haryana
Ms.Kushaldeep Kaur, Advocate, for HSVP.

G.S. Sandhawalia, J.(Oral)

1. Challenge in the present writ petition, filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is apparently to a show cause notice dated 03.01.2024 (Annexure P-11) issued whereby withdrawal of the final release order has been intimated to the present petitioners.

2. A perusal of the impugned notice would go on to show that written reply was sought from the date of the issuance of notice wherein the State, keeping in view the limitation to issue the release order, post the vesting of the land, had intimated to the petitioners to show cause

3. The objection taken by the State is to the maintainability in as much as that the petitioners have rushed to this Court and therefore, we are of

the considered opinion that if the State takes a categorical decision in pursuance of the objections to which the petitioners can always file reply, this Court would be better apprised as to what reasons may prevail with the State rather than pre-empt the decision.

4. In such circumstances, we are of the considered opinion that the present writ petition is premature and the same is hereby dismissed, with liberty to the petitioners to file a detailed reply to the said notice. Needless to say that we have not commented upon the merits of the case.

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

22.07.2024
Sailesh

(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No