



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No. 597 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 31.08.2024

Kartar Singh and others

..... Petitioner

Versus

Mr. Rajeev Rattan, Director of Higher Education,
Panchkula, Haryana and another

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Naveen Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Arun Beniwal, Senior Deputy Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondents alongwith
Ms. Sangeeta Yadav, Principal, Sri Krishna Govt. College,
Kanwali, District Rewari-respondent No. 2.

HARKESH MANUJA, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners, by way of present petition, seeks initiation of contempt proceedings against the respondent(s), alleging willful disobedience of the order dated 05.10.2023 (**Annexure P-1**) passed by this Court in CWP No. 17357 of 2017, the operative para-9 thereof is extracted hereunder:-

“ 9. In view of above discussion, the instant petition is allowed. The order, dated 12.07.2017, is set aside, the respondents are directed to regularise the petitioners in service in terms of the policy, dated 01.10.2003, and grant all consequential benefits arising therefrom within a period of four weeks of receiving a certified copy of the order. There shall be no order as to costs. ”

[2] In response, learned counsel for the respondents submits that excluding the LTC benefit, the other monetary emoluments have already been released in favour of the petitioners and the said LTC benefit shall be released in their favour as per law within a period of three months from today.

[3] In view of the aforesaid stand / undertaking, learned counsel for the petitioner does not press the present petition.

[4] **Disposed off as not pressed.**

[5] However, in case the needful is not done within the aforementioned stipulated period, the respondent(s) shall be liable to pay interest @ 9% per annum over the said amount and the petitioner(s) shall also be at liberty to seek revival of the present contempt petition. It is made clear that in an eventuality, wherein the petitioner(s) seeks revival on account of default of the respondent(s), the officer(s) concerned would be liable to pay a sum of Rs.1,00,000/- as costs from his/her own pocket in favour of each of the petitioners on the day of listing of such application.

[6] Rule stands discharged.

August 31, 2024
'dk kamra'

(HARKESH MANUJA)
JUDGE

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>