

CRWP-884-2024
Date of decision: 31.01.2024

....Petitioners.

...Respondents.

Present:- Ms. Navjot Kaur, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

• • • • •

SANJIV BERRY, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for directions to respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents No. 4 to 7.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both petitioners are apprehending threats to their lives at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 7, who are the family members of petitioner No. 2, and they have also moved a representation dated 24.01.2024 (Annexure P-5) to the Commissioner of Police, District Jalandhar. But no action has been taken till date.
3. Notice of motion.
4. On the asking of the Court, Mr. R.S. Khaira, DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the State-respondents No. 1 to 3.

5. Without commenting on the merits of the case, the petition is disposed of with the direction to respondent No. 2-the Commissioner of Police, District Jalandhar, to consider the representation dated 24.01.2024 (Annexure P-5) keeping in view the facts and circumstances of the case and if so warranted, take action, to ensure protection to the life and liberty of the petitioners, strictly in accordance with law.

6. It is made clear that this order is not to be construed as any opinion with regard to the age of the petitioners or factum or validity of their relationship in any civil or criminal proceedings nor the petitioners would be entitled for any protection against their arrest or continuance of any criminal proceedings, if otherwise, found to be involved in commission of any cognizable offence(s).

31.01.2024
preeti

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |