

CRWP-908-2024
Date of Decision: 31.01.2024

REENA AND ANOTHER

....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KARAMJIT SINGH

Present: Mr. Mohit Kakkar, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

KARAMJIT SINGH, J.(Oral)

1. Petitioners are praying for direction to respondents no.2 and 3 to provide protection to their life and liberty from the hands of private respondents no.4 to 11.

2. In the petition, it has been stated that both the petitioners are into live-in-relationship which has been opposed by private respondents no.4 to 11 who are related to petitioner no.1.

3. Counsel for the petitioners submits that the petitioner no.1- Reena aged about 21 years, got married with respondent no.8- Mukesh Kumar but after marriage their relations got strained and respondent No.8 compelled the petitioner to leave the matrimonial house and thereafter petitioner no.1 started living with petitioner no.2-Tarsem Singh aged about 23 years, who is otherwise unmarried.

4. Counsel for the petitioners further submits that now the petitioners are into live-in-relationship which is being opposed by the respondents no.4 to 11, who are extending threats to cause harm to the petitioners and in this regard, they gave representation dated

Sirsa but the police authorities failed to take any action on the basis of the said representation. Counsel for the petitioners further submits that the petitioners will be satisfied if necessary direction is given to respondent no.2 to do the needful in accordance with law, on the basis of the aforesaid representation(Annexure P-3).

5. Ms. Aditi Girdhar, AAG, Haryana appearing for the official respondents submits that in case any such representation is pending, the concerned authority will look into the matter and take action in accordance with law.

6. In view of above, without going into the merits of the case, this petition is hereby disposed of with direction to Superintendent of Police, Sirsa to consider representation dated 27.01.2024 (Annexure P-3) and to take action if so warranted in accordance with law in case there is any threat perception to the petitioners.

7. However, any observations made in this order will not be construed as an expression of opinion on the validity of the relationship of the petitioners. It is also made clear that respondents No.4 to 11 are at liberty to avail appropriate remedy available to them under law against the petitioners. In case the petitioners have committed any offence, law will take its own course.

31.01.2024
Priyanka Thakur

(KARAMJIT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No