

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

210

CRM-M-5112-2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision:11.03.2024

SUKHPREET KAUR AND OTHERS

.....Petitioners

Vs.

STATE OF PUNJAB

.....Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Aazam Khan, Advocate for
Mr. Ritesh Pandey, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Sahil R. Bakshi, AAG, Punjab
assisted by SI Surinder

Mr. B.B.S. Randhawa, Advocate
for the complainant.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(ORAL)

On 01.02.2024, following order was passed:

*“By way of present petition filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C.,
petitioners pray for grant of pre-arrest bail in a case arising out of FIR
No.354 dated 29.12.2023, under Sections 323, 324 and 34 of IPC
(Section 326 IPC added later on), registered at Police Station Civil
Line Batala, District Batala.*

*FIR has been lodged on the complaint of Inderjit Kaur, who is
daughter-in-law of petitioner Nos.2 and 3 and sister-in-law of
petitioner No.1. The allegations are that on 25.12.2023 at about 8-8.30
a.m. as the complainant was preparing food in the kitchen, petitioners
Sukhpreet Kaur and Paramjit Kaur came in the kitchen and ousted her
from the same and scuffled with her. They threw utensils. Then*

mother-in-law of the complainant, i.e. petitioner No.3 caught hold her arms; whereas petitioner No.1 gave kick blows in the stomach of the complainant. Petitioner No.2 - father-in-law is attributed to have raised lalkara, so as to kill the complainant and then husband is alleged to have hit a datar upon the right wrist of the complainant.

It is contended by learned counsel for the petitioners that petitioners have been falsely implicated; that no specific injury is attributed to them and that they are ready to join the investigation.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Karunesh Kaushal, AAG, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State. Mr. Bhupinder Singh Randhawa, Advocate has filed power of attorney on behalf of the complainant. Copy of paper book be supplied to them during the course of day.

Adjourned to 11.03.2024 for filing status report.

In the meantime, petitioners are directed to join investigation and co-operate in the same. They will not leave the country without prior permission of the Court nor shall make any attempt to contact the complainant of the case or any witness associated with the case. In the event of the arrest of the petitioners, they shall be released on interim bail on their furnishing personal/surety bonds to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer/Investigating Officer. They shall also abide by conditions as envisaged under Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. ”

Today learned State counsel, on instructions from SI Surinder, submits that petitioner has since joined the investigation and is not required for custodial interrogation.

Having regard to the aforesaid facts and circumstances, but without commenting anything further on the merits of the case order dated 01.02.2024 is hereby made absolute, whereby petitioner was granted interim anticipatory bail.

However, the petitioner shall continue to join the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the Investigating Agency and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

11.03.2024
pry

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No