

alleged to have snatched the mobile phone of the complainant-respondent no.2 on 18.11.2023 and prays for dismissal of the application.

4. Mr. Amandeep Singh, Advocate, has put in appearance on behalf of respondent No.2-complainant and filed power of attorney, which is taken on record. He stated that during the course of proceedings, with the intervention of respectables, compromise has been effected between the parties and the complainant-respondent No.2 has given affidavit (Annexure P-2) voluntarily pleading no objection in case bail is granted to the petitioner.

5. After considering the respective submissions made (*supra*) and perusing the record, it transpires that the petitioner has been arrested in the present case on 20.12.2023 in pursuance to the FIR registered on 18.11.2023 alleging some unknown persons having snatched the mobile phone of the complainant. During the course of proceedings, respondent No.2-complainant has furnished the affidavit (Annexure P-2). Admittedly, there is no other case pending against the petitioner and he is aged about 20 years.

6. Considering the above facts and circumstances, no useful purpose would be served by detaining the petitioner in custody any longer. Consequently, without commenting on the merits of the case, the present petition is allowed. The petitioner is ordered to be released on bail subject to furnishing bail bonds/surety bonds to the satisfaction of learned trial Court/Duty Magistrate concerned, if not required in any other case; undertaking to regularly appear on each and every date; not to leave the

CRM-M-4783-2024

3

country without prior permission of the Court; and not to tamper with evidence of prosecution in any manner.

7. Any observation made above shall not be construed as opinion of this Court on the merits of the case.

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

20.02.2024

kanika

- | | | |
|-----|----------------------------|--------|
| i) | Whether speaking/reasoned? | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether reportable? | Yes/No |