



IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

115-2

CM-1652-C-2024 in/and
RERA-APPL-11-2024 (O & M)
Date of decision: 23.07.2024

GREATER MOHALI AREA DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

...Appellant

Versus

RUPALI S VERMA AND ANR.

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJBIR SEHRAWAT

Present:- Mr. Ferry Sofat, Advocate,
for the appellant.

RAJBIR SEHRAWAT, J. (Oral)CM-1653-C-2024

1. Allowed as prayed for.

CM-1652-C-2024

1. This is an application under Section 5 of the Limitation Act read with proviso to Section 58 of the Real Estate (Regulation and Development) Act, 2016, seeking condonation of delay of 102 days in filing the appeal.

2. In view of the grounds mentioned in the application, the same is allowed and delay of 102 days in filing the appeal is condoned.

RERA-APPL-11-2024

1. Challenge in the present appeal is to the order dated 14.08.2023 passed by Appellate Tribunal and the order dated 31.05.2022 passed by the Authority.

2. Counsel for the appellant has failed to point out any substantial



RERA-APPL-11-2024

/2/

question of law involved in the case. Even on the facts, counsel for the appellant has not been able to dispute that when the original letter was issued to the respondent-allottees to take possession, at that time, the electrical work had not been completed at the place. When the electrical work was completed subsequently, there was no communication sent to the allottees in that regard and no fresh letter for taking possession was issued to the respondent-allottees. Therefore, this Court does not find any illegality or perversity even in the findings of facts recorded by the Tribunal.

3. In view of the above, finding no merit in the present appeal, the same is dismissed.

23.07.2024
parveen kumar

(RAJBIR SEHRAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No