

111

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-1928-2024

Date of Decision: January 31, 2024

Satish Kumar

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr.Ravish Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

.....

RAJESH BHARDWAJ, J.(ORAL)

Petitioner has approached this Court praying for issuance of direction to respondent No.6-Municipal Council Jaito to deliver possession of plot No.1, measuring 13' x 16' situated near Gurudwara Bhai Jagta Ji, Jaito, allotted to the petitioner in an open auction dated 11.06.2013 or to allot and deliver possession of any other alternative commercial site/plot of similar size. It is also prayed to issue direction to respondents No.1 to 6 to expeditiously consider and decide the representation, dated 08.11.2023, Annexure P-9.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that petitioner would be satisfied if his representation, dated 08.11.2023, Annexure P-9, is decided in a time-bound manner.

Notice of motion.

On asking of the Court, Ms.Akshita Chauhan, DAG, Punjab, who is present in Court, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents/State.

Mr.Vikram Preet Aneja, Advocate, accepts notice on behalf of

respondent No.6 and submits that representation of the petitioner would be decided expeditiously.

Heard.

After hearing the learned counsel for the parties, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.6, i.e. Executive Officer, Municipal Council Jaito, District Faridkot, to look into the representation, dated 08.11.2023, Annexure P-9, and decide the same expeditiously in accordance with law preferably within four weeks from today.

January 31, 2024

meenuss

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)

JUDGE

- 1. Whether speaking/reasoned ?
- 2. Whether reportable ?

Yes/No
Yes/No