

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-875-2024

Date of Decision : **03.04.2024**

PARMINDER SINGH AND ANROTHER

.....Petitioners

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present : Mr.G.P.S.Ghuman, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr.Pardeep Bajaj, DAG, Punjab.

KULDEEP TIWARI. J.(Oral)

1. The instant petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of directions to the official respondents to protect the lives and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of private respondents no. 6 to 9, for which they have also given a representation dated 24.12.2023 (Annexure P-9).

2. Today, a status report dated 03.04.2024, by way of an affidavit of Mohit Kumar Singla, DSP, Sub-Division Bassi Pathana, District Fatehgarh Sahib, has been filed, by the learned State counsel in Court today, which is taken on record, with a copy thereof supplied to learned counsel for the petitioner.

3. The relevant extract of the aforesaid report (*supra*), reads as under:-

“7. That vide the present petition, the petitioners have prayed for protection of their life and liberty at the hands of the

private respondents and further, for deciding the representation (Annexure P-9) allegedly submitted by the petitioners.

In response to the same, it is most humbly submitted that the District police is already taken action against the respondents No. 6 and 7 for the alleged occurrence dated 30/06/2023 by registering FIR No. 61 (supra) and on completion of investigation, submitting the final report under section 173 Cr.P.C. before the Ld. Court of competent jurisdiction. Although, no representation of the petitioners has been received with the District Police regarding the alleged threat perception, however in case, the petitioners approach the District police, appropriate legal action shall be taken in accordance with law.”

4. The status report makes categorical revelation that the appropriate action has been taken against the private respondents no.6 to 9, and also, as on today there is no apprehension to the life and liberty of the present petitioners.

5. In view of the above, the instant petition is dismissed as having been rendered infructuous.

April 03, 2024
dharamvir

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned.	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable.	:	Yes/No