

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

222

CWP-10268-1996 (O&M)
Decided on :19.03.2024

Sukhbir Singh
Versus
State of Haryana and others
... Petitioner
... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

PRESENT: None for the petitioner.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. A.G., Haryana.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. Present Civil Writ Petition has been filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India praying for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari calling for the records of respondent Nos. 1 and 2 with respect to the promotion of respondent Nos.3 to 11 and rejection of representation of the petitioner vide order Annexure P-5.
2. Learned State counsel has submitted that the present matter pertains to the year 1996 and in all likelihood, the petitioner has retired from service and nothing survives in the matter and thus, the present petition, in all probability, has been rendered infructuous.
3. None has appeared on behalf of the petitioner to rebut the said aspect.

4. In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous. Liberty is granted to the petitioner to move an application for revival of the same, in case, any cause survives.

19.03.2024
Mehak

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?

Yes/~~*No*~~

Whether reportable?

~~*Yes*~~/*No*