

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

273                                              CRM-M NO.5508-2023  
DATE OF DECISION: 02.04.2024

Bikramjeet Singh @ Bikkar Singh and others                      .....Petitioners

VERSUS

State of Punjab and another                      .....Respondents

CORAM    HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present        Mr. A.K.Garg, Advocate,  
                     for Mr. Ankush Rampal, Advocate  
                     for the petitioners.

                     Mr. Akshay Kumar, AAG, Punjab.

                     Mr.Kushagra Mahajan, Advocate,  
                     for respondent no.2.  
                     \*\*\*

**KULDEEP TIWARI, J (ORAL)**

1.                Through the instant petition, which has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C, prayer is made for seeking quashing of DDR No.38, dated 16.12.2022, under Sections 323, 336, 427, 148 and 149 IPC and under Sections 25 & 27 of Arms act, in FIR No. 217 dated 16.12.2022, under Sections 323, 336, 148 and 149 of the IPC, and under Sections 25 and 27 of the Arms Act, registered at Police Station Gharinda, District Amritsar and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2) arrived at between the parties.
2.                Upon an affirmative response from the learned counsel for the respondent No.2 *qua* the compromise (Annexure P-2), a Co-ordinate Bench of this Court had, through an order drawn on 14.09.2023, upon the instant petition, directed the parties to appear before the learned Area Magistrate/Trial Court concerned, for getting their respective statements recorded *qua* authenticity of the compromise

(Annexure P-2). Moreover, the learned Area Magistrate/Trial Court concerned was also directed to send a report in the above regard.

3. Consequent to the making of the directions (supra), learned counsel for the petitioners in CRM-M-5426-2023 arising out of the same FIR, submits that petitioner no.1 appeared to record his statement, whereas, petitioner no.4 was arrested during this time, and he did not appear to record his statement.

4. In view of the above, petition is **dismissed**, however, with liberty to file afresh in case the complete and final compromise is effected between the parties.

02.04.2024

mamta

(KULDEEP TIWARI)  
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No

Whether reportable

Yes/No