



278

....Petitioners

....Respondents

Deepak Patwal
2024.07.04 18:43
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document



3. Pursuant to the aforesaid order, parties have appeared before the learned Sub Divisional Judicial Magistrate, Sultanpur Lodhi, and got their statements recorded. On the basis of the statements so recorded, learned Magistrate has submitted report dated 09.05.2024 to the effect that the compromise has been effected between the parties voluntarily and without any coercion or undue influence.

4. Learned State counsel as well as counsel for respondent No.2 have not disputed the factum of compromise between the parties.

5. In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to continue with the proceedings before the trial Court in the instant FIR.

6. Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052* and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, this petition is allowed and FIR No.80 dated 29.06.2021 under Sections 406, 420 and 120-B of IPC, 1860 (Section 465 & 467 of IPC and Section 12 of Passport Act, 1967 added later) registered at Police Station Fattu DHINGA, Police Commissionerate, District Kapurthala and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2) are quashed qua the petitioners.

(MANISHA BATRA)

JUDGE

03.07.2024

Deepak Patwal

1. Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
2. Whether reportable : Yes/No