



264 **IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-5687-2024

Date of Decision: 09.09.2024

Akbar Mohammad @ Kala Pardhan and others

...Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE GURBIR SINGH

Present: Mr. Mahipal S. Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Avneet, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sunny K. Singla, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

GURBIR SINGH, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C. for quashing of FIR No.193 dated 03.11.2020 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 324/323/341/506/148/149 of IPC, 1860 (offence under Sections 326/201/34 of IPC added later on and Sections 148/149 of IPC deleted later on) registered at Police Station Ahmedgarh, District Sangrur (now Malerkotla) and all subsequent proceedings on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2 & P-3).
2. The co-ordinate Bench of this Court, vide order dated 05.02.2024 had directed the parties to appear before the trial Court/Duty Magistrate to get their statements recorded and the learned Magistrate was directed to send the report qua the genuineness of the compromise.
3. In compliance thereof, report dated 29.02.2024 from learned

Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Malerkotla has been received through the District & Sessions Judge, Sangrur with statements of the parties, in which, it has been mentioned that the compromise is genuine and has been effected between the parties voluntarily, without any coercion or undue influence.

4. In compliance of the previous order dated 15.03.2024, learned counsel for the petitioner has placed on record Special Power of Attorney on behalf of petitioner No.5. Same is taken on record.

5. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of respondent No.2-complainant has not disputed the factum of compromise.

6. Learned State counsel submits that in case the parties have indeed settled their dispute, the State would have no objection to the quashing of the FIR.

7. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have gone through the record.

8. In a decision, based on compromise, none of the parties is a loser. Rather, a compromise not only brings peace and harmony between the parties to a dispute, but also restores tranquility in the society. After considering the nature of offences allegedly committed and the fact that both the parties have amicably settled their dispute, continuance of criminal prosecution would be an exercise in futility, as the chances of ultimate conviction are bleak.

9. Following the principles laid down by the Full Bench judgment of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Versus State of Punjab and another 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*** and approved by the Hon'ble Supreme Court in ***Gian Singh Versus State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, this petition is allowed and FIR No.193 dated 03.11.2020 (Annexure P-1) under Sections 324/323/341/506/148/149 of IPC, 1860 (offence under Sections

326/201/34 of IPC added later on and Sections 148/149 of IPC deleted later on) registered at Police Station Ahmedgarh, District Sangrur (now Malerkotla) and all subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are quashed qua the petitioners on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2 and P-3).

09.09.2024
Parveen kumar

(GURBIR SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :Yes/No
Whether reportable :Yes/No