

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

118

CWP-1857-2024

Date of Decision :30.01.2024

Aniket Diwedi

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Rajat Mor, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Balwinder Sangwan, Advocate for respondent-HPSC.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has competed for the post of Agricultural Development Officer (Administrative Cadre) as advertised by the respondents vide advertisement No.14/2022 dated 24.06.2022 but claim of the petitioner for appointment on the said post is kept pending and no order has been passed by the respondents as to whether the petitioner is being treated eligible or he has been declared ineligible.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that once the petitioner has competed for the post in question, it becomes the duty of the respondents to pass an appropriate order qua the claim of the petitioner and non-passing of the order by the respondents is causing prejudice to the petitioner.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and Mr. Balwinder Sangwan, Advocate accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-commission.

5. Learned counsel for the respondent-commission submits that there was some confusion with regard to the qualifications obtained by the petitioner, which were appended by the petitioner with the application form as to whether the same fits in the requirements as envisaged in the advertisement and a clarification has been sought from the respondent-State qua the same and as and when the said clarification will be received, an appropriate decision will be taken and the same will be informed to the petitioner.

6. Learned State counsel submits that in case any clarification was sought by the respondent-commission and the same has not been replied so far, an appropriate decision qua their view with regard to the eligibility of the petitioner, will be conveyed to the respondent-commission within a period of four weeks.

7. Learned counsel for the respondent-commission submits that within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of reply/clarification from the respondent-State qua the eligibility of the petitioner, the decision will be taken by the respondent-commission and the same will be conveyed to the petitioner as well and in case, the petitioner is found entitled, his case will be considered in accordance with law qua his achievement during the selection process.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement made by the learned counsel for the respondents, present

petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

January 30, 2024

aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No