

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CR No.786 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Order:05.03.2024

Anju Bala

.Petitioner

Versus

Virender Singh and others

..Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANIL KSHETARPAL

Present: Mr. Akshay Kumar Goel, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Keshav Pratap Singh, Advocate
for respondent nos.2 to 7.

Mr. Sudhanshu Makkar, Advocate
for LR's of respondent no.8

ANIL KSHETARPAL, J

CM-15317-CII-2019

1. For the reasons stated in the application which is supported by an affidavit, the application for bringing on record the legal representatives of deceased late Sh. Ramesh [respondent no.8] mentioned in paragraph 3 of the application, is allowed, subject to all the just exceptions.

2. CM stands disposed of.

3. Amended memorandum of parties is taken on record.

MAIN

4. This petition has been filed by the plaintiff to challenge the correctness of order passed by the trial court while refusing her permission to sue as an indigent person. It has been found that the petitioner has not disclosed that she is the owner of 1 kanal and 4 marlas of land as per the

report of the Collector and she has failed to disclose the source of income of her husband or his properties.

5. During the pendency of the revision petition, the judgment has been pronounced in the main suit which stands dismissed. As per Order XXXIII Rule 11 CPC, the plaintiff, in any case, has to make good the deficiency in court fee.

6. Keeping in view the aforesaid facts, the revision petition is disposed of.

7. All the pending miscellaneous applications, if any, are also disposed of.

March 05, 2024
nt

(ANIL KSHETARPAL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:YES/NO
Whether reportable	:YES/NO