

CRM-M-4893-2024

1

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(226)

CRM-M-4893-2024

Date of Decision:-18.03.2024

Sandeep Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ALOK JAIN

Present: Mr. Arun Kumar Kaundal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Kuljit Singh, Addl. A.G. Punjab.

ALOK JAIN, J. (Oral)

1. Prayer is for grant of anticipatory bail in FIR No.170 dated 07.06.2023, registered under Sections 406, 420, 465, 467, 468, 471 and 120-B of IPC, at Police Station City Kharar, District SAS Nagar, Mohali.

2. Vide order dated **02.02.2024**, this Court while granting the concession of interim anticipatory bail directed the petitioner to join investigation.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and has also complied with the order dated 02.02.2024 and has deposited a sum of Rs.2 lacs by way of FDR with the trial Court to demonstrate his *bona fide* and the date of maturity of the said FDR is 28.03.2025.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Rajbit submits that the petitioner has joined the investigation and his custodial interrogation is no longer required.

CRM-M-4893-2024

2

5. In view of above, the petition is allowed. The order dated **02.02.2024** granting interim anticipatory bail to the petitioner, is made absolute with a direction that, in case the trial is not completed before 28.03.2025, the petitioner shall get the FDR further extended. However, the petitioner shall join the investigation as and when called upon to do so by the Investigating Agency and shall abide by the conditions of Section 438 (2) Cr.P.C.

6. However, it is open, in case there is a compromise arrived at between the parties, the amount deposited by the petitioner be also taken into consideration.

7. However, nothing stated above shall be construed as a final expression of opinion on the merits of the case and this order shall not be construed as parity *qua* any other co-accused.

8. It is made clear that, in case, the petitioners are found involved in any such activity once again, the State is at liberty to promptly move an appropriate application for cancellation of bail detailing out the circumstances and violation of the condition(s) of bail.

18.03.2024

manju

**(ALOK JAIN)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:- Yes/No

Whether Reportable:- Yes/No