

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

129

CR-710-2024 (O&M)
Date of decision: 25.04.2024

Manpreet Kaur

...Petitioner

Versus

Anuj

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS SURI

Present: None.

VIKAS SURI, J. (Oral)

1. There is no representation on behalf of the petitioner. Same was the position on the previous hearing. A perusal of the file shows that challenge has been raised to the order dated 12.12.2023 passed by the Principal Judge, Family Court, Panchkula, whereby the application for waiving the statutory period of six months under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955, was dismissed in view of law laid down in *Amardeep Singh vs. Harveen Kaur*, (2017) 8 SCC 746, and the matter was posted for 10.04.2024 i.e. the date already fixed for recording the joint statement of the petitioners at the stage of second motion.
2. It is apparent from the above that by efflux of time, the present petition has been rendered infructuous. However, as there is no representation on behalf of the petitioner, this Court refrains from observing on the merits of this case.
3. Dismissed for want of prosecution.

April 25, 2024
sumit.k

(VIKAS SURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes / No
Whether Reportable :	Yes / No