

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

**CR No.603 of 2024 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 02.02.2024**

Nirmala Devi

.....Revisionist-Petitioner.

Versus

Rohit Goyal and others

.....Respondents.

CORAM: *HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA*

Present:- Mr. Jai Bhagwan, Advocate for the revisionist-petitioner.

**MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA, J.(Oral)
CM No.1870-CII of 2024**

The instant application has been moved on behalf of the applicant-petitioner-defendant No.1 with the prayer to bring the LR's of respondent No.4-Rakesh Kumar on the record, while averring that the said respondent had expired on 31.01.2020. The applicant has filed her affidavit along-with the present application.

Heard.

Keeping in view the above-discussed facts and circumstances, the proposed LR's namely Veenu Goal, Upasana Goal and Nageshwar Goal, as mentioned in para No.2 of this application, are allowed to be impleaded as the Legal Representatives of respondent No.4, subject to all the just exceptions and only for the limited purpose of the adjudication of the main revision-petition.

The application in hand stands disposed of accordingly.

CR No.603 of 2024

By filing the instant revision-petition under Article 227 of the Constitution of India, the petitioner has sought the indulgence of this Court for the issuance of the direction to learned Additional Civil Judge (Senior Division), Sunam (for short, 'the trial Court'), to decide *Civil Suit No.255 of 2017* titled as '*Rohit Goal Versus Nirmala Devi and others*', within some specific time-frame, while averring that the afore-said Suit is pending since the year 2017 and she, being a senior citizen, is facing great hardship due to the delay in the decision thereof.

2. I have heard learned counsel for the revisionist-petitioner in the present revision-petition, at the preliminary stage and have also gone through the file carefully.

3. Keeping in view the fact that the above-mentioned Civil Suit is pending adjudication for the last about 6½ years and also the fact that the petitioner is a senior citizen, aged about 79 years and without commenting or expressing any opinion on the merits of the Civil Suit under reference, the revision-petition in hand is, hereby, disposed of with a direction to the concerned trial Court to expedite the proceedings in the afore-said Civil Suit and to decide the same, in accordance with law, as early as possible.

February 02, 2024

Yag Dutt

**(MEENAKSHI I. MEHTA)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned:</i>	<i>Yes</i>
<i>Whether Reportable:</i>	<i>No</i>