

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

107

CWP-2043-2024

Date of Decision :01.02.2024

Vinit Singh Tanwar

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI

Present: Mr. Sunil K. Nehra, Advocate for the petitioner.

* * *

Harsimran Singh Sethi, J. (Oral)

1. In the present petition, prayer of the petitioner is that medical reimbursement of Rs.31,08,993/- is not being paid to the petitioner and that too without any valid justification despite the fact that the petitioner has submitted all the documents to show that the treatment was taken under an emergent situation though, from a non-approved hospital and according to the instructions issued by the respondent-State, medical reimbursement is to be made on the basis of the PGIMER rates but in the facts and circumstances of the present case not even a single paisa has been reimbursed to the petitioner, which is causing prejudice to him.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner has already raised the said grievance before the respondents by way of serving legal notice dated 22.08.2023 (Annexure P/8), which is still pending consideration with the respondents and the petitioner will be satisfied, at this stage, in case a time bound direction is issued to the respondents to

decide the said legal notice.

3. Notice of motion.

4. Mr. Harish Nain, AAG, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of the respondent-State and submits that in case the legal notice dated 22.08.2023 (Annexure P/8) has been received from the petitioner and is still pending consideration with the respondents, the same will be decided by the respondents in accordance with law and an appropriate order will be passed within a period of 08 weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order and in case the petitioner is found entitled for any relief the same will be extended to him within a further period of 04 weeks otherwise, due reasons will be given in the said speaking order for information and necessary action of the petitioner.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that keeping in view the statement of the learned counsel for the respondents, present petition may kindly be disposed of having been not pressed any further.

6. Ordered accordingly.

February 01, 2024
aarti

(HARSIMRAN SINGH SETHI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No